Case 5:13-cv-02127-JLS Document 1 Filed 04/19/13 Page 1 of 10 CIVIL COVER SHEET

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
SCOTT ECK and PAT ECK		NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.	NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	ame, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add	ress) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LO	OCATION OF THE		
Craig Thor Kimmel, E		LAND INVOLVED.	LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)		
Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike	P.C.	Attorneys (If Known)			
Ambler, PA 19002					
(215) 540-8888		5			
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an ". (For Diversity Cases Only) and One	X" in One Box for Plaintiff Box for Defendant)		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	PTF DEF Citizen of This State	PTF DEF		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Pl of Business In Another Sta			
***************************************	National Section And Control of the	Citizen or Subject of a	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY 0	THER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans ☐ (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	Slander 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud Liability 371 Truth in Lending 355 Motor Vehicle 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 380 Other Personal Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 441 Voting 442 Employment Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General	620 Other Food & Drug	Banks and Banking Commerce Deportation Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Consumer Credit Cable/Sat TV Selective Service Securities/Commodities/ Exchange Customer Challenge 2 USC 3410 Dither Statutory Actions Agricultural Acts Economic Stabilization Act Environmental Matters Energy Allocation Act Freedom of Information Act		
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & Oth □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition	er	ppeal of Fee Determination Inder Equal Access o Justice Constitutionality of State Statutes		
№ 1 Original □ 2 R	tate Court Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify)	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment		
VI CAUGE OF ACTI	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you as	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):	E		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices Ad	t			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demand	ded in complaint: Yes		
VIII. RELATED CAS		DOCKET NUMBER			
Explanation:		1/1			
DATE	SIGNATURE OF	AXTORNEY OF RECORD			
A A COMPANY AND A STATE OF THE ADDRESS OF THE ADDRE					

04/18/2013

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

	:	CIVIL ACTION	
v. NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.	: : : :	NO.	
In accordance with the Civil Justice E plaintiff shall complete a Case Manage filing the complaint and serve a copy on side of this form.) In the event that designation, that defendant shall, with it plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Manage that defendant believes the case should	ment Track Designa all defendants. (Sea a defendant does no as first appearance, so nagement Track Des	ation Form in all civil cases at t e § 1:03 of the plan set forth on to ot agree with the plaintiff rega abmit to the clerk of court and se	the time of the reverse arding said erve on the
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWI	NG CASE MANAC	GEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought und	ler 28 U.S.C. § 2241	through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting r and Human Services denying plaint		-	()

(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are	
commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by	•
the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special	
management cases.)	()

(X)

()

(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.

(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from

(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.

04/18/2013 Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for
215-540-8888	877-788-2864	kimmel@creditlaw.com
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

SCOTT ECK and PAT ECK

exposure to asbestos.

Case 5:13-cv-02127-JLS_Document_1_Filed_04/19/13 Page 3 of 10

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 4121 Lehigh St., Whitehall, PA 18052 Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Rd., Horsham, PA 19044 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? Yes□ NoX (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) No Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yes□ RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes NoX 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? No CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1.

Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1.

Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2.

Airplane Personal Injury 2.

FELA 3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. □ Assault, Defamation 4.

Marine Personal Injury 4.

Antitrust 5. Patent 5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. D Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability - Asbestos 8.

Habeas Corpus 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. M All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 15 U.S.C. § 1692 ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) , Craig Thor Kimmel , counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my/knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. 57100 DATE: 04/18/2013 Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. 57100 DATE: 04/18/2013

Attorney-at-Law

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

Attorney I.D.#

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 SCOTT ECK and PAT ECK, 4 **Plaintiffs** 5 6 Case No.: V. 7 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR 8 JURY TRIAL Defendant 9 (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 10 **COMPLAINT** 11 SCOTT ECK and PAT ECK ("Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys, 12 13 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., allege the following against NCO Financial 14 Systems, Inc. ("Defendant"): 15 INTRODUCTION 16 1. Plaintiffs' Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices 17 18 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA") 19 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE** 20 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 21 which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate 22 23 United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 24 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising 25 under the laws of the United States.

- 3. Defendant conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiffs are natural persons residing in Whitehall, Pennsylvania 18052, at the time of the alleged harassment.
- 6. Plaintiffs are "consumers" as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. In the alternative, Plaintiffs are persons granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a) and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiffs in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 14. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt and repeatedly contacted Plaintiffs in its attempts to collect that debt.
- 15. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 16. Plaintiffs never incurred any debt in connection with a business or commercial activities, and therefore, the debt, if truly an obligation owed by them could have only arisen from a financial obligation for primarily personal, family or household purposes.
- 17. In November 2012, Plaintiffs moved into a new home, and about one week later, they had a new home telephone number turned on.
- 18. Almost immediately, Plaintiffs began receiving repeated and continuous collection calls from Defendant. The calls continued through April 2013.
- 19. During the relevant period, Defendant called Plaintiffs, on average, one (1) and four (4) times per day.
- 20. In those instances where Plaintiffs' answered Defendant's calls, they received a pre-recorded message advising that it was calling to collect a debt and it was regarding a personal business matter.

- 21. At no time in the message did Defendant identify the name of the alleged debtor or give Plaintiffs the option of speaking with a live person.
- 22. In those instances where Plaintiffs' voicemail picked up, Defendant left messages stating, "NCO Financial Systems, Inc." was "attempting to collect a debt" and instructing Plaintiffs to call "Duane Wilkinson back at (866) 285-0367." Defendant provided a reference number of "OMN133."
- 23. In its voicemail messages, Defendant never identified the name of the alleged debtor.
- 24. Wanting to stop the repetitive and continuous calls, Plaintiffs contacted Defendant and was advised that it would remove their number so that Plaintiffs would not receive any more calls.
- 25. However, Defendant failed to update its records and remove Plaintiffs' phone number from its database.
- 26. Instead, Defendant continued to call Plaintiffs in its attempts to collect a debt.
- 27. On several other occasions, Plaintiffs contacted Defendant and advised Defendant to stop calling. Each time, Defendant's collectors assured Plaintiff that it would remove their phone number from its records and that it would stop calling.

28. But, Defendant would not remove Plaintiffs' phone number from its system, and instead persisted in calling Plaintiffs, having the intent to annoy, abuse, and harass them.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

29. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:

COUNT I

- a. A debt collector violates §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
- b. A debt collector violates §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
- c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA by repeatedly contacting Plaintiffs one (1) to four (4) times a day, even after Plaintiffs requested that the calls be stopped, with the intent to annoy, abuse or harass Plaintiffs.

COUNT II

a. A debt collector violates §1692e of the FDCPA by using any false,

deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

b. Here, Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA when it told Plaintiffs that it would remove their telephone number from its system but never intended to do so, as evidenced by their continued calls to Plaintiffs.

COUNT III

- a. A debt collector violates §1692f of the FDCPA by using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- b. Here, Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA engaging in other unfair and unconscionable debt collection practices, including failing to update its records to avoid further calls to Plaintiffs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, SCOTT ECK and PAT ECK, respectfully pray for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);

- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiffs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiffs, SCOTT ECK and PAT ECK, demand a jury trial in this case.

DATED: 04 18 13

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By: _

CRAIG THOR KIMMEL Attorney ID # 57100 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: <u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u>